

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.asylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/498,944	02/04/2000	Christopher Warnock	EBRY0001	9493
22862 7590 0J0882010 GLEND PATENT GROUP 3475 EDISON WAY, SUITE L MENLO PARK, CA 94025			EXAMINER	
			LE, NANCY LOAN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/08/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

eptomatters@glenn-law.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/498,944 WARNOCK ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit NANCY T. LE 3621 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 August 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3.15.17-21.55-75 and 78-85 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3, 15, 17-21, 55-75 and 78-85 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/C3)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/498,944

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgements

Applicant's Amendment/Remarks filed on 28 August 2009 is acknowledged.

All references to the capitalized versions of "Applicants" refer specifically to the Applicants of record. Any references to lower case versions of "applicant" or "applicants" refer to any or all patent "applicants". Unless expressly noted otherwise, references to "Examiner" refers to the Examiner of record while reference to or use of the lower case version of "examiner" or "examiners" refers to examiner(s) generally.

This paper is given Paper No. 20091221 by the Examiner. This Paper No. is for reference purposes only.

Status of Claims

Claims 1-3, 15, 17-21, 55-75 and 78-85 have been examined and pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/498,944

Art Unit: 3621

Claims 1-3, 15, 17-21, 55-75 and 78-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 7,130,831 (Howard) and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,041.316 (Allen).

Howard discloses a computer-implemented method for user access to document content using a logic client device comprising:

- delivering to a user of said client logic device, via a document server, a first user viewable version of the actual contents of a specific document residing at a said document server on a first cost basis, said first user viewable version being protected by a security server to prevent the user from performing a standard operation on said version, said standard operation consisting of any of copying, printing or saving (Howard, at least the Abstract, C 2 L 28 C 17 L 6);
- delivering user-requested pages of a specific document from a document server
 in a first user-viewable version comprising a form protected by a security server
 to a user of a client logic device to permit said user viewing the actual contents of
 said pages of said specific document and selecting by said user but not printing,
 copying or saving by the user (Howard, at least the Abstract, C 2 L 28 C 17 L
 6).

Howard does not expressly disclose:

 calculating with said document server a charge to permit the user to perform a requested standard operation on a user-selected portion of said specific document on a second cost basis: Application/Control Number: **09/498,944**Art Unit: 3621

delivering to said user, via said document server, a second version of the actual
contents of said specific document residing at said document server
comprising said user-selected portion of said specific document, wherein a
user requested standard operation is completed, in coordination with said
document server, upon payment of the calculated charge.

Allen, however, teaches:

- calculating with said document server a charge to permit the user to perform a requested standard operation on a user-selected portion of said specific document on a second cost basis;
- delivering to said user, via said document server, a second version of the actual
 contents of said specific document residing at said document server
 comprising said user-selected portion of said specific document, wherein a
 user requested standard operation is completed, in coordination with said
 document server, upon payment of the calculated charge:

(Allen at least the Abstract, C. 2 L 23 – C 9 L 4) to provide (deliver) a higher quality version of the data (i.e., a second version of the actual contents of a specific document) to the customer (user) over the network if the customer is entitled (i.e., upon payment) to receive it.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art to motivate to
add the aspects of "calculating with said document server a charge to permit
the user to perform a requested standard operation on a user-selected portion
of said specific document on a second cost basis:

Application/Control Number: 09/498,944
Art Unit: 3621

delivering to said user, via said document server, a second version of the actual
contents of said specific document residing at said document server
comprising said user-selected portion of said specific document, wherein a
user requested standard operation is completed, in coordination with said
document server, upon payment of the calculated charge",

as taught in the Allen reference to the method disclosed in the Howard reference to provide (deliver) a higher quality version of the data (i.e., a second version of the actual contents of a specific document) to a customer (user) over the network if the customer is entitled (i.e., upon payment) to receive it.

Since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination, each element merely would have performed the same function as it did separately, and one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 67 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground of rejection as discussed above.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

US 5592549 (Nagel).

Application/Control Number: 09/498,944 Paper No. 20091221 - Page 5 of 6

Art Unit: 3621

US 6205456 (Nakao)

US 5247575 (Sprague)

US 7069451 (Ginter)

US 7133845 (Ginter)

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NANCY T. LE whose telephone number is (571) 272-7066. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00am - 6:00pm Eastern Standard Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANDREW J. FISCHER can be reached on (571) 272-6779.

For official/regular communication, the fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

For informal/draft communication, the fax number is (571) 273-7066 (Rightfax).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/498,944 Paper No. 20091221 - Page 6 of 6

Art Unit: 3621

NANCY T. LE Examiner, Art Unit 3621

/EVENS J. AUGUSTIN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3621